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Abstract. In a recent paper by Campos and Mendoza an explicit formula was given for the limit probability distribution of the leading digit of $a^n$. Computations for $1 \leq n \leq N$, $N$ large require a multiple precision arithmetic and are very slow. We use a method proposed by Campos and Mendoza to perform computations in ordinary floating point. With the help of interval arithmetic all results are rigorous.

1. The problem and its solution. For the following let fixed integers $a, b \geq 2$ be given. Denote the leading digit in the $b$-adic expansion of $a^n$ by $\text{ldigit}(a^n, b)$. That means for $a^n = \sum_{\nu=m}^{\infty} \beta_{\nu} \cdot b^\nu$ it is $\text{ldigit}(a^n, b) = \beta_m$.

(1.1)

The usual conventions apply, i.e. $0 \leq \beta_{\nu} < b$, $\beta_m \neq 0$ and $|\{\nu : \beta_{\nu} = b - 1\}| < \infty$. This implies that the representation (1.1) is unique. Define

$$\varphi_N(k) := |\{1 \leq n \leq N : \text{ldigit}(a^n, b) = k\}|.$$ 

Then obviously $\sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \varphi_N(k) = N$, and in [3] it has been shown that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\varphi_N(k)}{N} = \log_b(1 + 1/k).$$

In order to run some numerical examples we need to compute, for given $n$, the leading digit of $a^n$ in its $b$-adic expansion. Fortunately, this can be reduced to a simple test involving logarithms.

Lemma 1.1. [3] For given $a, b \geq 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is

$$\text{ldigit}(a^n, b) = k \Leftrightarrow \log_b k \leq n\alpha - [n\alpha] < \log_b (k + 1),$$

where $\alpha := \log_b a$.

Proof. It is $b^m \leq a^n < b^{m+1}$ iff $m = [\log_b a^n] = [n\alpha]$. Therefore

$$\text{ldigit}(a^n, b) = k \iff k \cdot b^m \leq a^n < (k + 1)b^m \iff \log_b k + [n\alpha] \leq n\alpha < \log_b (k + 1) + [n\alpha].$$

Therefore, the problem of computing $\varphi_N(k)$ reduces to check

(1.2)

$$n\alpha - [n\alpha] \in [\log_b k, \log_b (k + 1)] \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq n \leq N.$$ 

Rigorous results are produced if logarithms are computed with error bounds. Problems will occur if $n\alpha - [n\alpha]$ is exactly equal to $\log_b k$ because in that case (1.2) cannot be satisfied unless $n\alpha - [n\alpha]$ is computed without error. But $n\alpha - [n\alpha] = \log k$ implies $a^n = b^m \cdot k$. This is, for example, true if $a^n$ has only digit in the $b$-adic expansion.

The following Matlab programm [2] uses the interval toolbox Intlab [4] to compute $\varphi_n(N)$. Special care is taken for the case $a^n = k < b$.
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function f(a,b,N)

    p = zeros(b-1,1);
    logb = log(intval(b));
    alpha = log(intval(a))/logb;

    nmin = 1;
    while a^nmin<b
        nmin = nmin+1;
    end

    n = nmin:N;
    for k=1:b-1
        K = intval(k);
        x = n*alpha;
        x = x - floor(x.inf);
        logb_k = log(K)/logb;
        logb_k1 = log(K+1)/logb;

        I = ( logb_k <= x ) & ( x < logb_k1 );
        p(k) = sum(I);
    end

    I = a.^(1:nmin-1);
    p(I) = p(I) + 1;

    p, sum(p)

Algorithm 1.2. Rigorous computation of $\varphi_k(N)$

Finally, we sum the computed values $\varphi_N(k)$, $1 \leq k \leq b-1$ and check $\sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \varphi_n(k) = N$. Because the check for (1.2) is rigorous, this proves correctness of the result. Otherwise we use Matlab vector notation and think the program is self-explaining.

For $b = 10$, $N = 10^5$ and $a \in \{2, 3\}$ the program produces the following results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$\varphi_N(k)$ for $a = 2$</th>
<th>$\varphi_N(k)$ for $a = 3$</th>
<th>$\log_b(1 + 1/k)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30102</td>
<td>30101</td>
<td>0.30103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17611</td>
<td>17611</td>
<td>0.17609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12492</td>
<td>12492</td>
<td>0.12494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9692</td>
<td>9692</td>
<td>0.09691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7919</td>
<td>7917</td>
<td>0.07918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6695</td>
<td>6696</td>
<td>0.06695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5797</td>
<td>5799</td>
<td>0.05799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5116</td>
<td>5116</td>
<td>0.05115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4576</td>
<td>4576</td>
<td>0.04576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3. $\varphi_N(k)$ for $a = 2$ and $a = 3$
Computing time was 5.1 seconds on a 300 MHz PentiumI Laptop. The numbers coincide with Figure 1 in [1] (except the typo $3^n \rightarrow 2^n$). The results in Table 1.3 are completely rigorous and demonstrate the ease of use of interval arithmetic and INTLAB.
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